

# Alternative Disinfection Considerations

## Drinking Water Treatment

| Chlorine Gas  |   |
|---|---|
| <i>Advantages</i>   | <i>Potential Disadvantages</i>                                  |
| Inexpensive   | Cost is increasing  |
| Tradition   | Forms regulated DBPs  |
|   | Operator safety   |
|   | Transportation risk   |
|   | Public safety   |
|   | Needs scrubber system   |
| Bulk Liquid Hypochlorite  |   |
| <i>Advantages</i>   | <i>Potential Disadvantages</i>                                  |
| Easy to use   | Decays with light exposure and temperature                      |
| Supply readily available  | Scaling of piping and feed system creates routine maintenance   |
| Eliminates process safety management required by gas chlorine – reducing staff time | Trace contaminants (bromate)                                    |
|   | Requires bulk and day tanks for storage                         |
|   | Chemical and maintenance costs greater than gas chlorine        |
| On-site Generated Hypochlorite  |   |
| <i>Advantages</i>   | <i>Potential Disadvantages</i>                                  |
| Easy to use   | Generates hydrogen gas which must be vented                     |
| Production chemicals readily available  | More maintenance intensive than bulk hypochlorite               |
| Eliminates process safety management required by gas chlorine – reducing staff time | Chemical and maintenance costs greater than liquid hypochlorite |
| No bulk storage needed  | Significant capital costs                                       |
| Avoids truck shipments of hazardous chemical  |   |

| UV   |  |
|--|--|
| <i>Advantages</i>  | <i>Potential Disadvantages</i>                                       |
| Small footprint  | Water distribution systems still require chlorine residual           |
| Reduced dependence on chemicals and truck shipments  | Validation procedures for CT credit in water treatment not finalized |
| Provides additional log removal credit for water plants needing additional <i>Cryptosporidium</i> protection | Electrical cost  |
| Easy to use  | Lamp replacement cost  |
| Ozone  |  |
| <i>Advantages</i>  | <i>Potential Disadvantages</i>                                       |
| Taste and odor control in addition to disinfection   | Capital expense  |
| May reduce regulated DBPs  | Cost of O&M  |
| Ability to meet CT for water treatment   | Complexity of O&M  |
| Oxidation of wide range of compounds   | New regulated DBPs (Bromate)   |
| Combined Chlorine (with bulk or on-site generated hypochlorite)  |  |
| <i>Advantages</i>  | <i>Potential Disadvantages</i>                                       |
| Easy to use  | Nitrification  |
| Inexpensive  | Fish owners and dialysis unit notification needed                    |
| Reduces regulated DBPs   | Potential new unregulated DBPs                                       |
| Eliminates taste and odor of free chlorine   |  |
| Chlorine Dioxide   |  |
| <i>Advantages</i>  | <i>Potential Disadvantages</i>                                       |
| Easy to use  | Hazardous chemicals  |
| Reduces regulated DBPs   | New regulated DBPs formed  |

# Alternative Disinfection Considerations

## Wastewater Treatment

| Chlorine Gas  |   |
|---|---|
| <i>Advantages</i>   | <i>Potential Disadvantages</i>                                  |
| Inexpensive   | Cost is increasing  |
| Tradition   | Need to dechlorinate discharge                                  |
|   | Operator safety   |
|   | Transportation risk   |
|   | Public safety   |
|   | Needs scrubber system   |
| Bulk Liquid Hypochlorite  |   |
| <i>Advantages</i>   | <i>Potential Disadvantages</i>                                  |
| Easy to use   | Decays with light exposure and temperature                      |
| Supply readily available  | Scaling of piping and feed system creates routine maintenance   |
| Eliminates process safety management required by gas chlorine – reducing staff time | Requires bulk and day tanks for storage                         |
|   | Chemical and maintenance costs greater than gas chlorine        |
| On-site Generated Hypochlorite  |   |
| <i>Advantages</i>   | <i>Potential Disadvantages</i>                                  |
| Easy to use   | Generates hydrogen gas which must be vented                     |
| Production chemicals readily available  | More maintenance intensive than bulk hypochlorite               |
| Eliminates process safety management required by gas chlorine – reducing staff time | Chemical and maintenance costs greater than liquid hypochlorite |
| No bulk storage needed  | Significant capital costs                                       |
| Avoids truck shipments of hazardous chemical  |   |
| UV  |   |
| <i>Advantages</i>   | <i>Potential Disadvantages</i>                                  |
| Small footprint   | Not effective if turbidity present                              |
| Reduced dependence on chemicals and truck shipments                                 | Electrical cost   |
| Easy to use   | Lamp replacement cost   |
| Eliminates dechlorination feed system   |   |

